UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Massachusetts

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

STATEMENT OF REASONS

V.							
Alexis Morales			Case 1	Number: 4: 04	CR 40019 -	001 - FDS	
			Eduardo Masferrer				
				nt's Attorney			
The court a	adopts the factual finding	rs and guideline a	nnlication in t	he presentence repo	ort		
The count	adopts the factour finding	s una garacimo a	OR	ne presentence repe	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
The court a	dopts the factual findings and guideline application in the presentence report, except (see attachment, if necessary):						
	-				- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
						Continuation Page	
Guideline Range Dete	ermined by the Court:				□ See v	Continuation 1 age	
Total Offer	aca Laval	25					
	istory Category:	V V					
Imprisonment Range: 100		·	125	months			
			5	years			
Fine Range	: \$	\$10,000.00	to \$	\$2,000,000.00			
					-		
			09	9/27/05			
Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.:				position of Judgment			
Defendant's Date of Birth:	00/00/81		le	VE Donnis Saylo	or IV		
Defendant's USM No.:	80461-038			s/ F. Dennis Saylo	л IV 		
Defendant's Residence Address:			•	Signature of Judicial Officer The Honorable F. Dennis Saylor IV			
Federal Custody					•	1 4	
				J.S. District Judg	<u> </u>		
			Name and	Title of Judicial Office	r		

10/4/05

Date

Defendant's Mailing Address:

Same as above

AO 245B

Case 4:04-cr-40019-FDS

Judgment in a Criminal Case - D. Massachusetts Document 85 Filed 10/04/2005 Page 2 of 3 Statement of Reasons - Sheet 2

Alexis Morales DEFENDANT:

Statement of Reasons - Page 2 of

CASE NUMBER: 4: 04 CR 40019 - 001 - FDS

STATEMENT OF REASONS

STATEMENT OF REASONS
Fine waived or below the guideline range because of inability to pay.
Total Amount of Restitution: \$
Discretionary restitution is not ordered because the complication and prolongation of the sentencing process resulting from the fashioning of a restitution order outweighs the need to provide restitution to any victims, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663(a)(B)(ii) (or in offenses committed before April 23, 1996, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663(d)).
Restitution pursuant to the mandatory victim restitution provisions is not ordered in this title 18 property offense because the number of identifiable victims is so large as to make restitution impracticable, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(A).
Restitution pursuant to the mandatory victim restitution provisions is not ordered in this title 18 property offense because determining complex issues of fact and related to the cause of amount of the victim's losses would complicate or prolong the sentencing process to a degree that the need to provide restitution to any victim is outweighed by the burden on the sentencing process, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(B).
For offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994 but before April 23, 1996 that require the total amount of loss to be stated, pursuant to Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18, restitution is not ordered because the economic circumstances of the defendant do not allow for the payment of any amount of a restitution order, and do not allow for the payment of any or some portion of a restitution order in the foreseeable future under any reasonable schedule of payments.
Partial restitution is ordered, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(c), for the following reason(s):

AO 245B Judgment in a Criminal Case - Statement of Reasons - Sheet 3

Statement of Reasons - Page 3 of 3

See Continuation Page

DEFENDANT: Alexis Morales

CASE NUMBER: 4: 04 CR 40019 - 001 - FDS

	STATEMENT OF REASONS
	The sentence is within the guideline range, that range does not exceed 24 months, and the court finds no reason to depart from the sentence called for by the application of the guidelines.
	OR
	The sentence is within the guideline range, that range exceeds 24 months, and the sentence is imposed for the following reasons:
	OR
X	The sentence departs from the guideline range:
	upon motion of the government, as a result of a defendant's substantial assistance, or
	for the following specific reason(s):
	During the trial of this matter, the jury indicated that they had reached an impasse in their deliberations. After they were sent back to resume deliberations, the government and defendant reached a plea agreement with an agreed-upon sentence under Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(c). The Court accepted the plea agreement in order to avoid retrial and promote the voluntary termination of this matter, and because the proposed sentence (five years' imprisonment) was punitive and not unreasonable under the circumstances. The Court accordingly departed downward under § 5K2.0 on the grounds that this was an exceptional case in which there was present a circumstance not identified in the guidelines but nonetheless relevant to determining the sentence